

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**


This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action dated June 29, 2006. At that time, claims 1-12, 14, 17-22, 24, 26-33, 35-38, 40, 42-55, and 57-59, 61-70 were pending in the application. In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed claims 1-12, 14, 17-22, 24, 26-33, 35-38, 40, 52-55, and 61-67, and 69-70. The Examiner indicated that the remaining claims—*i.e.*, claims 42-51 and 68—contained allowable subject matter. However, the Examiner rejected claims 42-51 and 68 as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Specifically, the Examiner indicated that claim 42 “recites the limitation ‘the one or more mounting brackets’ and that there “is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.” As a result of this paper, claim 42 has been amended to address this typographical error. Accordingly, Applicants assert that claim 42, and all claims that depend therefrom (including claims 43-51 and 68), are sufficiently definite and satisfy the requirements of § 112. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

As part of this paper, claim 65 has been amended to correct a minor typographical error. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Based upon the present paper, this application is in a condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued. If there are any remaining issues preventing allowance of the pending claims that may be clarified by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Sally J. Brown  
Reg. No. 37,788  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Date: August 15, 2006

Autoliv ASP, Inc.  
3350 Airport Road  
Ogden, Utah 84405  
Telephone: (801) 625-4994